

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,853	08/09/2006	Olivier Larcher	1022702-000293	6966	
21839 7550 68/10/2009 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ZIMMER, ANTHONY J		
ALEXANDRI	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/10/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/568,853
 LARCHER ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 ANTHONY J. ZIMMER
 1793

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) ANTHONY J. ZIMMER. (3)Scott Cumminas. (2) Ngoc-Yen Nguyen. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 04 August 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)XI No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 16-23.36 and 37. Identification of prior art discussed: Blanchard. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Applicant argued that the 112 rejection of claim 16 was improper because the disclosure of a second calcination step of 400-900°C suppored at least 850°C. The examiners inidicated that a new matter rejection would be maintained. Applicant pointed out that the lack of disclosure of the reducibility in Blanchard.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793	
Patent and Trademark Office	